Use of Mini Dental Implants for Management of a Deficient Ridge: A Case Report
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Abstract

In the case of thin alveolar ridge, standard diameter implants can only be used after bone augmentation surgery. But not all of our patients are ready for this type of surgery. In some favorable situations where special precautions are taken, mini-implants can be an interesting alternative. Here, we report a clinical case of mini implant-supported rehabilitation to manage of a thin edentulous ridge.

Key words: Mini Dental Implant; Thin Alveolar Ridge; Implant-Supported Fixed Prosthesis

Introduction

Sufficient bone support is one of the essential requirements to indicate an implant-supported fixed prosthesis. Thin alveolar ridges can be encountered in our practice and there are different ways for their management. Surgical augmentation techniques allow the reconstruction of a bone thickness needed for the placement of a standard implant [1]. However, not all of our patients are ready for bone augmentation surgeries. Mini dental implant can be an interesting alternative, but special precautions must be taken [2]. The purpose of this article is to show, through a clinical case, the contribution of mini dental implant in the management of thin ridges in implant-supported fixed prosthesis.

Case Report

A 70-year-old female consulted the department of fixed Prosthodontics at the Dental Clinic of Monastir requesting for a fixed rehabilitation. The patient was in good general health condition. The clinical examination revealed a poorly developed oro-facial muscles, good oral hygiene. The vertical dimension of occlusion was preserved. The maxillary arch presented bilateral terminal edentulous ridges with the loss of the following teeth: 14, 15, 16, 17, 26 and 27 (Figure 1).
In the mandible, the teeth 46 and 36 were absent, metal retainers on teeth 34, 35 and 37 were evaluated and found to be defective (Figure 2).

Examination of the maxillary and mandibular incisors showed slight tooth mobility. Examination of the occlusion showed a prosthetic occlusal height reduced on the right side due to the tooth 47egression (Figure 3).

A panoramic film and periapical radiographs have revealed insufficient endodontic treatment on teeth 34, 35 and 37. A resorption of the right maxillary edentulous ridge was confirmed (Figure 4).

Diagnostic casts were mounted in centric occlusion then waxed to perform the prosthetic project. A bone volume study was achieved through a CT scan. We determined the different oblique slices passing through the edentulous maxillary sites. Then, we measured the thickness of the alveolar bone on each slide. The available bone height was considered in relation to the floor of the maxillary sinus. We found a thin alveolar crest thickness at the level of left premolar maxillary sector (4.5 mm). The available bone height was about of 15 mm (Figure 5).

Our prosthetic decisions were:
- Two metal-ceramic crowns supported by two dental mini implants with a diameter (Ø) of 2.5 mm and a length (L) of 13 mm in position of 24 and 25.
- Two metal-ceramic crowns supported by two implants with a diameter of 3.75mm and a length of 11.5 mm in position of 26 et 27.
- A metal-ceramic implant-supported crown in position of 16 (Ø 3.75mm /L.8 mm).
- Two mandibular metal-ceramic bridges.
Preprosthetic Phase

The main objective was the restoration of normal occlusal functions and the correction of posterior wedging. Loss this will remove occlusal overload on the anterior teeth. Provisional occlusal rehabilitation was performed using a maxillary removable partial denture and two temporary mandibular fixed partial dentures during this phase, endodontic restorations and posts and cores were performed.

Surgical-Prosthetic Phase

The placement of two mini dental implants in maxillary premolar region and three implants in molar regions was performed by the Flapless technique in one session (Figure 6).

For both mini dental implants, master impressions were performed after 10 weeks. In a first step, the metal abutments were sealed (Figure 7) and then the two metal-ceramic crowns. (Figure 8). Due care was given to excess removal of the cement to avoid any further complication.

Thereafter, we completed the implant-supported maxillary rehabilitation (Figure 9).

In a later phase, the two temporary mandibular bridges were replaced by two metal-ceramic bridges (Figure 10).

Discussion

Our patient presented a thin alveolar ridge in the maxillary premolar area. Mini dental implants are indicated after a rigorous study. Specific precautions were taken. First, our patient had undeveloped masticatory muscles. This predicts that occlusal loads will be low.
Moreover, the premolar areas are not as much solicited by occlusal forces as in the canine and molar sectors. Mini dental implants having a diameter of between 1.8 and 2.4 mm should be of sufficient length to optimize bone anchorage [3-5]. In our case, the thin bone crest had a height of 15 mm, which allowed the placement of mini dental implants of sufficient length (13 mm). On the other hand, it is essential to obtain a good primary stability which will be, in part, provided by bone quality (preferably a type I and II bone) [5,6]. In our case, bone density was satisfactory. Mini dental implants had been inserted with the auto advance technique. Majority of mini-implants are composed of a titaniumalloy (Ti), Aluminum (Al) and Vanadium (V), making them more resistant to tensile forces than pure titanium [3,4].

Thus, they are sufficiently resistant to be inserted without prior preparation of the site [7,8]. The implant was inserted without reflecting the mucoperiosteal flap. It constitutes a minimally invasive technique and bone resorption will be reduced [9].

Mini dental implants have lower fracture resistance than standard implants, so specific control of transmitted occlusal loads is very important [10]. For this, we have previously restored normal occlusal conditions for our patient. This was done using temporary prostheses from the wax prosthetic project. The restoration of the posterior wedging as well as the distribution of the occlusal stresses is thus obtained. The reduction of occlusal surfaces has been the rule. In dynamic occlusion, our attention was focused on removing lateral forces at the level of mini implants, which will reduce the risk of fracture.

Conclusion

Mini dental implants are not intended to replace standard implants but represent a reliable alternative when conventional implantology cannot be considered for anatomic, medical or economic reasons. For the treatment of this case, all favorable conditions were met for the use of mini dental implants to manage the problem of thin ridge.
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