Dr. Mancardi is the author of advanced chemical methods from the University of Torino in Italy and has six textbooks related to esthetic and implant dentistry and soft-tissue management. He now conducts a number of sessions on Saturday, March 16, 2019. For all practitioners and the head of the Brånemark System at Boston University, Dr. Palacci will present his full presentation, “Narrow Implants Resorb Posterior Regions of Mandible: One-Year Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes.”

Feine J. How successful are small-diameter implants and narrow diameter implants as a safe and reliable solution? Drs. Patrick Palacci and Giulia Mancardi, PhD, obtained their DDS and MS in Boston and are authors of several articles in Maxillofac Implants and other respected periodontal therapy journals. They have worked with more than 10,000 standard-diameter implants and a temporary bridge in place. Dr. Palacci has placed 4,266 narrow implants, multiplying the number of narrow implants by nearly six. Dr. Mancardi is an associate professor at the University of Washington, Seattle, and has placed 5,040 narrow implants.

Survival of standard and narrow implants was 93% and 92%, respectively. (6) The average success rates were 93% for standard-diameter implants. (6) The use of narrow implants is a safe and predictable treatment option.

• Clinical Tips

Placement of narrow implants. In a full-arch cantilevered implant overdenture, the maxillary anterior region is a common area for narrow implants. This is the anterior maxillary and mandibular regions with or without augmentation in posterior mandibles for up to 1 year. The use of narrow implants is a safe and reliable solution. (3) They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures, since their success rate seems not to be affected by bone resorption over time.

• Clinical Tips

Narrow implants allow the treatment of the anterior maxillary and mandibular regions with or without augmentation in posterior mandibles for up to 1 year. They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures. Using small-diameter implants is a safe and reliable solution. (3) They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures, since their success rate seems not to be affected by bone resorption over time.

• Clinical Tips

Can narrow implants be used in the anterior maxillary and mandibular regions with or without augmentation in posterior mandibles for up to 1 year? Concerning these teeth, wider implants than around 3.75 mm or 4 mm in diameter are preferred. (2) Similarly, other authors observed a 5% and 3% failure rate, respectively. (2) These results are comparable to that of standard-diameter implants. (2) In cases where bone resorption does not seem to be affected by bone grafting in certain circumstances? Drs. Patrick Palacci and Giulia Mancardi, PhD, obtained their DDS and MS in Boston and are authors of several articles in Maxillofac Implants and other respected periodontal therapy journals. They have worked with more than 10,000 standard-diameter implants and a temporary bridge in place. Dr. Palacci has placed 4,266 narrow implants, multiplying the number of narrow implants by nearly six. Dr. Mancardi is an associate professor at the University of Washington, Seattle, and has placed 5,040 narrow implants.

Survival of standard and narrow implants was 93% and 92%, respectively. (6) The average success rates were 93% for standard-diameter implants. (6) The use of narrow implants is a safe and predictable treatment option. (3) They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures. Using small-diameter implants is a safe and reliable solution. (3) They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures, since their success rate seems not to be affected by bone resorption over time.

Can narrow implants be used in the anterior maxillary and mandibular regions with or without augmentation in posterior mandibles for up to 1 year? Concerning these teeth, wider implants than around 3.75 mm or 4 mm in diameter are preferred. (2) Similarly, other authors observed a 5% and 3% failure rate, respectively. (2) These results are comparable to that of standard-diameter implants. (2) In cases where bone resorption does not seem to be affected by bone grafting in certain circumstances? Drs. Patrick Palacci and Giulia Mancardi, PhD, obtained their DDS and MS in Boston and are authors of several articles in Maxillofac Implants and other respected periodontal therapy journals. They have worked with more than 10,000 standard-diameter implants and a temporary bridge in place. Dr. Palacci has placed 4,266 narrow implants, multiplying the number of narrow implants by nearly six. Dr. Mancardi is an associate professor at the University of Washington, Seattle, and has placed 5,040 narrow implants.

Survival of standard and narrow implants was 93% and 92%, respectively. (6) The average success rates were 93% for standard-diameter implants. (6) The use of narrow implants is a safe and predictable treatment option. (3) They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures. Using small-diameter implants is a safe and reliable solution. (3) They are analogous to those used with fixed restorations and mandibular overdentures, since their success rate seems not to be affected by bone resorption over time.